

Unified picture of the particle and sparticle masses in SUSY GUT

Zurab Berezhiani

INFN Sezione di Ferrara, I-44100 Ferrara, Italy, and

Institute of Physics, Georgian Academy of Sciences, 380077 Tbilisi, Georgia

The horizontal symmetry $U(3)_H$ can greatly help in solving the flavor problems in supersymmetric grand unification. We consider the $SU(5) \times U(3)_H$ model of ref. [1] and show that it can lead to the remarkable relations between the fermion mass matrices and the soft SUSY breaking terms at the GUT scale. The supersymmetric flavor-changing phenomena are naturally suppressed. The possible extensions to the $SO(10) \times U(3)_H$ model are also outlined.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Jv, 11.30.Hv, 12.10.Dm, 12.15.Ff

The flavor problem is one of the key problems in particle physics [2]. In the context of supersymmetric theories it has two aspects: fermion flavor and sfermion flavor. The first aspect concerns the quark and lepton mass spectrum and mixing pattern. In the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) the fermion sector f consists of three families $l_i = (\nu, e)_i$, e_i^c , $q_i = (u, d)_i$, u_i^c , d_i^c ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Their masses emerge from the Yukawa couplings to the Higgs doublets $H_{1,2}$:

$$W_Y = l\hat{\lambda}_e e^c H_1 + q\hat{\lambda}_d d^c H_1 + q\hat{\lambda}_u u^c H_2, \quad (1)$$

where the 3×3 matrices $\hat{\lambda}_{e,d,u}$ of the coupling constants remain arbitrary. However, supersymmetric grand unified theories (SUSY GUT), in particular, the models [3,4] based on $SO(10)$ or $SU(6)$ symmetries can provide predictive textures for the Yukawa couplings.

The second aspect, a specific of SUSY, questions the mass and mixing spectrum of (yet undiscovered) superpartners, or in other words the pattern of the soft SUSY breaking (SSB) terms (the tilde labels sfermions):

$$\begin{aligned} L_A &= \tilde{l}\hat{A}_e \tilde{e}^c H_1 + \tilde{q}\hat{A}_d \tilde{d}^c H_1 + \tilde{q}\hat{A}_u \tilde{u}^c H_2, \\ L_m &= \sum_f \tilde{f}^\dagger \hat{m}_f^2 \tilde{f} \quad (f = l, e^c, q, u^c, d^c). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here $\hat{A}_{e,d,u}$ and $\hat{m}_f^2$ are the arbitrary 3×3 matrices, not necessarily aligned to the Yukawa constants in (1). Hence, the fermion-sfermion couplings to neutral gauginos in general are not diagonal in the basis of the mass eigenstates. This induces the flavor changing (FC) processes like $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ transition, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay, with the rates much exceeding the experimental ones unless the sfermion masses are about 100 TeV or larger. In this case, however, the advantages of supersymmetry in stabilizing the Higgs boson mass would be lost.

In MSSM natural suppression of the SUSY FC phenomena can be achieved by assuming the SSB terms universality at the Planck scale [5]. However, in the

context of SUSY GUTs this idea becomes insufficient. The FC effects of physics above the GUT scale M_X can strongly violate the soft-terms universality at lower scales [6]. This has most dramatic impact on the predictive frameworks like [3,4]. These theories, in addition to the standard chiral set of the ‘would be light’ fermion superfields $f = q, u^c, d^c, l, e^c$ and standard Higgses $H_{1,2}$, contain also a vector-like set of heavy fermions $F + F_c$ and various GUT Higgses. Typically all these have masses (VEVs) $\geq M_X$ and large couplings (with constants ~ 1). Actual identification of the fermion flavor occurs after integrating out the heavy sector [7], when theory reduces to the MSSM, and the light physical states f' generically emerge as linear combinations of the original f and F states. This feature provides an appealing explanation to the fermion flavor structure, since the small Yukawa constants in the MSSM can be understood as the ratios of different physical scales in theory [7,3,4]. However, the same feature creates severe problems in the sfermion sector. Even if the SSB terms were universal at M_{Pl} , their universality at energies below M_X can be strongly violated due to effects of the renormalization group (RG) running from M_{Pl} down to M_X [6] and the heavy sector decoupling [8]. This would cause dramatic FC phenomena which pose a serious challenge to a whole concept of the supersymmetric grand unification.

A natural way to approach both flavor problems is to introduce the horizontal (inter-family) symmetry. Several possibilities based on the flavor symmetries $U(1)$ or $U(2)$ have been considered in the literature [9]. However, the chiral $SU(3)_H$ symmetry [10] unifying all families in horizontal triplets seems to be the most attractive candidate. In this letter we revisit the $SU(5) \times SU(3)_H$ model suggested earlier in ref. [1]. We show that it can provide unified picture of the particle/sparticle masses and naturally solve the supersymmetric FC problem.

The model contains f fermions in the representations

$$f_{\bar{5}} = (d^c, l)_i \sim (\bar{5}, 3), \quad f_{10} = (u^c, q, e^c)_i \sim (10, 3) \quad (3)$$

($i = 1, 2, 3$ is the $SU(3)_H$ index), while the standard Higgses $H_{1,2}$ are placed respectively in the superfields $\bar{H} \sim (\bar{5}, 1)$ and $H \sim (5, 1)$. Since the fermion mass terms transform as $3 \times 3 = 6 + \bar{3}$, they can be induced only via the higher order operators

$$G_n \frac{\chi_n}{M} f_{\bar{5}} f_{10} \bar{H} + G'_n \frac{\chi_n}{M} f_{10} f_{10} H, \quad (4)$$

where $M \gg M_W$ is some cutoff scale (hereafter to be referred to as a flavor scale) and χ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) denote the Higgs superfields in two-index representations of $SU(3)_H$: (anti)sextets $\chi^{\{ij\}}$ and triplets $\chi^{[ij]} \sim \varepsilon^{ijk} \chi_k$, with VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle < M$. Notice, that the actual global chiral symmetry of terms (4) is $U(3)_H = SU(3)_H \times U(1)_H$, where $U(1)_H$ related to the phase transformations $f_{\bar{5}}, f_{10} \rightarrow e^{i\omega} f_{\bar{5}}, f_{10}$; $\chi_n \rightarrow e^{-2i\omega} \chi_n$, can serve as Peccei-Quinn symmetry unless it is explicitly broken in the Higgs potential of χ_n [1].

This picture suggests that the observed mass hierarchy may emerge from the hierarchy $\mathcal{C} \gg \mathcal{B} \gg \mathcal{A}$ of the chiral $U(3)_H$ symmetry breaking scales:

$$U(3)_H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} U(2)_H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}} U(1)_H \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} I \quad (5)$$

rather than due to the *ad hoc* choice of small Yukawa constants. In addition, a specific form of the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ can lead to the predictive textures for fermion masses. For example, one can choose χ_n as a sextet χ_3 having a VEV $\mathcal{C}$ towards (3,3) component and two triplets $\chi_{2,1}$ with VEVs $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ pointing respectively to the 1st and 3rd directions. Then the whole VEV matrix has a Fritzsch texture [11] to be transferred also to fermion mass terms:

$$\hat{V}_H = \sum_n \langle \chi_n \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 \\ -\mathcal{A} & 0 & \mathcal{B} \\ 0 & -\mathcal{B} & \mathcal{C} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

In the following this pattern will be used as a simple qualitative reference model [12]. In particular, it implies the following hierarchy of the $U(3)_H$ breaking scales:

$$\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{C} \sim \sqrt{m_1 m_2} : \sqrt{m_2 m_3} : m_3, \quad \mathcal{C} \sim M, \quad (7)$$

where $m_{1,2,3}$ are typical masses of three fermion families.

The unbroken $U(3)_H$ would imply the mass degeneracy between the sfermion families. However, once nonrenormalizable terms (4) are included in the superpotential, there is no symmetry reason to exclude the analogous operators in the SUSY breaking sector. Namely, there can be the following terms with arbitrary coefficients:

$$A_n \frac{\chi_n}{M} \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}} \tilde{f}_{10} \bar{H} + A'_n \frac{\chi_n}{M} \tilde{f}_{10} \tilde{f}_{10} H, \\ m_5^2 \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}}^\dagger (1 + \gamma_{kn} \frac{\chi_k^\dagger \chi_n}{M^2}) \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}} + m^2 \tilde{f}_{10}^\dagger (1 + \gamma'_{kn} \frac{\chi_k^\dagger \chi_n}{M^2}) \tilde{f}_{10}. \quad (8)$$

The SSB terms (2) which emerge after substituting the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ are non-universal in families. In view of

estimates (7) the mass splittings and mixings between sfermions are significant, so that the contributions e.g. to the CP-violating parameter ε_K in $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ system will be too large unless the sparticle mass scale is of few TeV. This situation, generic also for the models [9], however implies the certain amount of fine tuning for stabilizing the Higgs boson mass. Therefore, more convincing approach would be desirable.

It was suggested in [1] that operators (4) emerge entirely from the renormalizable theory, after integrating out some heavy (with mass $\sim M$) F -states. Let us introduce the vector-like fermions in representations

$$F = (U^c, Q, E^c)^i \sim (10, \bar{3}), \quad F_c = (U, Q^c, E)_i \sim (\bar{10}, 3) \quad (9)$$

and consider the most general Yukawa terms:

$$\mathcal{W}_Y = g f_{\bar{5}} F \bar{H} + g' f_{10} F H + b \Sigma F F_c + a_n \chi_n F_c f_{10}, \quad (10)$$

where the ‘horizontal’ Higgses $\chi_n \sim (R, r)$ can be in all possible representations of $SU(5) \times SU(3)_H$, with $R \subset 10 \times \bar{10} = 1 + 24 + 75$ and $r \subset \bar{3} \times 3 = 3 + \bar{6}$. For simplicity we take Σ as a singlet, with the VEV $\langle \Sigma \rangle = M \sim M_X$. The general pattern of the SSB terms is

$$\mathcal{L}_A = A \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}} \tilde{F} \bar{H} + A' \tilde{f}_{10} \tilde{F} H + B \Sigma \tilde{F} \tilde{F}_c + A a'_n \chi_n \tilde{F}_c \tilde{f}_{10} \\ \mathcal{L}_m = m_{\tilde{f}_{\bar{5}}}^2 \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}}^\dagger \tilde{f}_{\bar{5}} + m^2 \tilde{f}_{10}^\dagger \tilde{f}_{10} + \mu^2 \tilde{F}^\dagger \tilde{F} + \mu_c^2 \tilde{F}_c^\dagger \tilde{F}_c \quad (11)$$

where the magnitudes of the dimensional parameters can vary from hundred GeVs to TeV.

After substituting the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ couplings (10) reduce to the following mass matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^c & E^c \\ l & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{g} H_1 \\ \hat{M}_e & \hat{M} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} d^c & Q^c \\ q & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{M}_q^T \\ \hat{g} H_1 & \hat{M} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} u^c & Q^c & U^c \\ q & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{M}_q^T & \hat{g}' H_2 \\ \hat{g}' H_2 & \hat{M} & 0 \\ \hat{M}_u & 0 & \hat{M} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

where each entry is 3×3 matrix in itself: $\hat{g} = g \mathbb{1}$, $\hat{g}' = g' \mathbb{1}$ and $\hat{M} = M \mathbb{1}$ are the family blind matrices, and all information on the fermion flavor pattern is contained in the off-diagonal blocks $\hat{M}_f = \sum_n a_n \langle \chi_n \rangle_f$. Here the subscript $f = e, q, u$ implies that the fields χ_n , depending on their $SU(5)$ content, induce different Clebsches in corresponding elements of the matrices $\hat{M}_{e,q,u}$.

After integrating out the heavy sector theory reduces to the MSSM with the light fermion superfields f' being the linear combinations of the initial f and F states. Notice, that d^c and l (components of $f_{\bar{5}}$) do not mix to the heavy states: $l' = l$, $d'^c = d^c$, while the members of f_{10} (u^c, q, e^c) mix to the corresponding states (U^c, Q, E^c) in F . In the ‘seesaw’ limit $\hat{M}_{q,u,e} \ll M$ this yields the following form of the MSSM Yukawa matrices (1):

$$\hat{\lambda}_e = g\hat{\kappa}_e, \quad \hat{\lambda}_d = g\hat{\kappa}_q^T, \quad \hat{\lambda}_u = g'(\hat{\kappa}_q^T + \hat{\kappa}_u). \quad (13)$$

where $\hat{\kappa}_f = \hat{M}^{-1}\hat{M}_f$, $f = e, q, u$. For example, one can fix the sextet χ_3 inducing the largest VEV ($\mathcal{C}$) in the $U(3)_H$ symmetry breaking chain (5) as $SU(5)$ singlet: $\chi_3 \sim (1, \bar{6})$. Then the $(3, 3)$ entries of $\hat{M}_{q,u,e}$ are equal and thus we maintain the $b - \tau$ Yukawa unification at the GUT scale: $\lambda_b = \lambda_\tau$, while $\lambda_t/\lambda_b = 2g'/g$ [13]. The fields χ_n with smaller VEVs should include the ones in the mixed representations like $\chi_2 \sim (24, 3)$, in order to produce the nontrivial $SU(5)$ Clebsches distinguishing the quark and lepton mass entries for the light families [14]. In this paper we do not assume any particular texture for the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$: $\hat{M}_{q,u,e}$ are general matrices with a hierarchy of elements that is typical for the fermion mass matrices. However, by imposing the certain simple textures on $\langle \chi_n \rangle$, e.g. (6) or alike, one could produce fermion mass ansatzes with a predictive power as strong as in the $SO(10)$ based models [3]. An example of such a predictive model was presented in ref. [12].

Substituting the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ in the full scalar Lagrangian, we obtain the total mass matrix e.g. for the slepton states ($\tilde{l}^*, \tilde{E}^*, \tilde{e}^c, \tilde{E}^c$):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{m}_5^2 & 0 & 0 & \hat{A}H_1 \\ 0 & \hat{\mu}_e^2 + \hat{M}^2 + \hat{M}_e\hat{M}_e^\dagger & \hat{A}\tilde{M}_e & B\hat{M} \\ 0 & \hat{A}\tilde{M}_e^\dagger & \hat{m}^2 + \hat{M}_e^\dagger\hat{M}_e & M\hat{M}_e \\ \hat{A}H_1^* & B\hat{M} & M\hat{M}_e^\dagger & \hat{\mu}^2 + \hat{M}^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

and analogously for squarks, where $\hat{m}^2 = m^2\mathbb{1}$, $\hat{A} = A\mathbb{1}$, etc. are family blind entries and $\tilde{M}_f = \sum_n a'_n \langle \chi_n \rangle_f$, $f = e, q, u$, have approximately the same pattern as $\hat{M}_{e,q,u}$. Integrating out the heavy fields in matrices (14), one can obtain the SSB terms (2) which are left for the light f' states in the MSSM. We find that all A -terms are aligned to the corresponding Yukawa matrices:

$$\hat{A}_e = \frac{A}{g}\hat{\lambda}_e, \quad \hat{A}_d = \frac{A}{g}\hat{\lambda}_d, \quad \hat{A}_u = \frac{A'}{g'}\hat{\lambda}_u, \quad (15)$$

The fragments of f_5 , d^c and l , do not mix to the heavy states and their soft masses remain family blind:

$$\hat{m}_{\tilde{l}}^2 = m_5^2\mathbb{1}, \quad \hat{m}_{\tilde{d}^c}^2 = m_5^2\mathbb{1}, \quad (16)$$

while the other soft mass matrices are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}_{\tilde{e}^c}^2 &= m^2(\mathbb{1} - \delta\hat{\kappa}_e^\dagger\hat{\kappa}_e - \delta_A\hat{\rho}_e^\dagger\hat{\rho}_e), \\ \hat{m}_{\tilde{q}}^2 &= m^2(\mathbb{1} - \delta\hat{\kappa}_q^\dagger\hat{\kappa}_q - \delta_A\hat{\rho}_q^\dagger\hat{\rho}_q), \\ \hat{m}_{\tilde{u}^c}^2 &= m^2(\mathbb{1} - \delta\hat{\kappa}_u^\dagger\hat{\kappa}_u - \delta_A\hat{\rho}_u^\dagger\hat{\rho}_u), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\delta = (\mu^2 - m^2)/m^2$, $\delta_A = A^2/m^2$ are generally ~ 1 . The matrices $\hat{\rho}_f = \frac{1}{M}\tilde{M}_f - \frac{B}{A}\hat{\kappa}_f$ are approximately aligned to $\hat{\kappa}_f$, but are not exactly proportional unless $a'_n \propto a_n$. The terms $\sim \delta$ arise due to difference of the soft

masses m and μ , from the same rotation of superfields in f_{10} and F which defines the physical light (f'_{10}) and heavy (F') fermions. The terms $\sim \delta_A$ emerge due to the additional small mixing ($\sim A/M$) between the states $\tilde{f}'_{10}$ and $\tilde{F}_c^*$. In fact, these are contributions to the soft masses of the physical states which emerge from the A -terms (11) in decoupling the heavy sector.

Thus, in our model the boundary conditions for the SSB terms at the flavor scale are different from the *universal* ones usually adopted in the MSSM. In order to deduce the SSB terms pattern at the electroweak scale, the expressions (15), (16) and (17) should be corrected by the RG running below the scale M . Clearly, all FC effects will remain under control, once they are not big at the scale M . Namely, eqs. (15) and (16) imply that there are no flavor changing in the left sleptons and right down squarks, as well as in L-R mixing. This leads to immediate suppression of the supersymmetric contributions which are generally dominant to the $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ transition and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay. Nevertheless, the contributions to ε_K and electric dipole moments can be still too big for the sfermion masses up to 1 TeV unless the CP-violating phases are small. The latter case could occur e.g. if the theory possesses CP-invariance which is spontaneously broken by the ‘horizontal’ VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$.

It is interesting to consider a situation when $\hat{\rho}_f$ are vanishing. This would occur if the SSB terms were universal at the Planck scale, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_A \propto \mathcal{W}_Y$ [5]. As it was shown in [8], the A -terms RG running below M_{Pl} is not relevant at the decoupling of the heavy sector, which fact actually is an implication of the F-terms no-renormalization. Then, taking into account eqs. (13), we obtain [15]:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{m}_{\tilde{e}^c}^2 &= m^2(\mathbb{1} - \frac{\delta}{g^2}\hat{\lambda}_e^\dagger\hat{\lambda}_e), \quad \hat{m}_{\tilde{q}}^2 = m^2(\mathbb{1} - \frac{\delta}{g^2}\hat{\lambda}_d^\dagger\hat{\lambda}_d)^T, \\ \hat{m}_{\tilde{u}^c}^2 &= m^2[\mathbb{1} - \frac{\delta}{g^2}(\hat{\lambda}_d^\dagger - \frac{g'}{g}\hat{\lambda}_u^\dagger)(\hat{\lambda}_d - \frac{g'}{g}\hat{\lambda}_u)] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(recall also that $g/g' = 2\lambda_b/\lambda_t$ if $\chi_3 \sim (1, \bar{6})$). Thus, in this case whole pattern of the SSB couplings (2) at the scale M is completely fixed solely in terms of the Yukawa matrices (1) irrespective of the concrete form of the latter. (Note, for $\delta > 0$ the sfermion masses (18) exhibit the inverse hierarchy). One can rotate fermion states to a basis in which $\hat{\lambda}_e$ and $\hat{\lambda}_d$ are diagonal: $\hat{\lambda}_{e,d} = \hat{\lambda}_{e,d}^D$ and $\hat{\lambda}_u = V^\dagger\hat{\lambda}_u^D V_c$, where V is the CKM matrix. Hence, all *sflavor* parameters (15 sfermion masses and 7 matrices of the $f - \tilde{f}$ mixing) are predicted in terms of 13 standard parameters (fermion masses and CKM matrix) plus 5 new parameters: masses m, m_5 , dimensional constants A, A' and dimensionless δ/g^2 defining the relative mass splittings between sfermion families. For example, we have mass relations

$$m_{\tilde{e}}^2 = m_{\tilde{\mu}}^2 = m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2, \quad \frac{m_{\tilde{\mu}^c}^2 - m_{\tilde{e}^c}^2}{m_{\tilde{\tau}^c}^2 - m_{\tilde{e}^c}^2} = \frac{m_{\tilde{\mu}}^2}{m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2} \quad (19)$$

for sleptons and the similar formulas for squarks.

Now the soft mass terms of the $\tilde{e}^c$ and $\tilde{d} \subset q$ states as well as the trilinear couplings $\hat{A}_e$ and $\hat{A}_d$ are aligned respectively to $\hat{\lambda}_e$ and $\hat{\lambda}_d$, while the states $\tilde{l}$ and $\tilde{d}^c$ are degenerate between families. Therefore, there are no new supersymmetric contributions to the $K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ transition, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ decays, etc. (besides the standard ones induced due to the RG running from the scale M down to the electroweak scale). The new FC effects can emerge only in the upper sector. Since $\hat{m}_q^2$ is aligned to $\hat{\lambda}_d$, the mixing angles in the u - $\tilde{u}$ -gluino couplings coincide with the CKM angles. The magnitudes of the u^c - $\tilde{u}^c$ mixing angles also have the size of the CKM angles. Therefore, predictions for $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$ transition, etc. are consistent with the current experimental limits.

In principle, the flavor scale M can be different from the GUT scale $M_X \simeq 10^{16}$ GeV. However, it can be constrained by the following arguments. The contributions of the extra superfields (9) would “explode” (violate perturbativity bound) in the $SU(5)$ gauge constant running before M_{Pl} unless $M > 10^{12}$ GeV. As we have noted, the field χ_2 should be in the mixed representation (24,3) or alike, and thus $\mathcal{B} \leq M_X$. Interestingly, the scale $\mathcal{B} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV is also favored if one attempts to explain the large scale structure of the universe via the global textures related to the $SU(3)_H$ symmetry breaking [16]. The lower limit $\mathcal{B} > 10^{10}$ GeV emerges from the experimental bound on the decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + \text{famon}$ [17]. In addition, if $U(1)_H$ is not explicitly broken in the Higgs sector, then it plays a role of the Peccei-Quinn symmetry and astrophysical constraints on the axion imply that $\mathcal{A} = 10^{10} - 10^{12}$ GeV [17]. Taking into account the estimates (7), all these bounds translate into $M = 10^{13} - 10^{16}$ GeV. As a matter of fact, these constraints ensure that possible contributions from the truly nonrenormalizable Planck scale operators like (4) and (8) would be negligible both for the fermion masses and the SSB terms.

One can extend the symmetry group to $SO(10) \times U(3)_H$. In this case the f -fermions (3) are included in $f \sim (16, 3)$ while the Higgses $H, \bar{H}$ are combined in $h \sim (10, 1)$. As for the F -fermion set (9), it should be enlarged to $F \sim (16, \bar{3})$ and $F_c \sim (\bar{16}, 3)$, which now include also the $SU(5)$ 5-plets $F' = (D^c, L)^i \sim (5, \bar{3})$ and $F'_c = (D, L^c)_i \sim (5, 3)$. (Certainly, the latter could be introduced directly in the $SU(5) \times U(3)_H$ frames [1], but such a theory would not be very predictive.)

One can consider models with the most general pattern of the renormalizable Yukawa terms:

$$\mathcal{W}_Y^{SO(10)} = gfFh + b_k \Sigma_k FF_c + a_n \chi_n F_c f, \quad (20)$$

where $\chi_n \sim (R, \bar{6} + 3)$ and $\Sigma_k \sim (R, 1 + 8)$ stand for all possible Higgses with $R = 1, 45$ or 210 . After substituting the scalar VEVs, mass matrices of the f and F fermions all obtain the form similar to the upper quark matrix in (12), involving now nontrivial entries $\hat{M}_f$ and $\hat{M}_F$ which are defined by the VEV structures $\langle \chi_n \rangle$ and

$\langle \Sigma_k \rangle$. After integrating out the heavy sector, all the Yukawa and SSB couplings will be fixed in terms of 5 matrices $\hat{\kappa}_f = \hat{M}_F^{-1} \hat{M}_f$ ($f = q, u, d, e, l$):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\lambda}_e &= g(\hat{\kappa}_l^T + \hat{\kappa}_e), & \hat{\lambda}_{d,u} &= g(\hat{\kappa}_q^T + \hat{\kappa}_{d,u}), \\ \hat{A}_{e,d,u} &= \frac{A}{g} \hat{\lambda}_{e,d,u}, & \hat{m}_f^2 &= m^2(\mathbb{1} - \delta \hat{\kappa}_f^\dagger \hat{\kappa}_f) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

(Note, the last relation is obtained assuming the Planck scale universality of the SSB terms). By imposing certain zero textures like (6) on the VEVs $\langle \chi_n \rangle$, one can obtain the matrices $\hat{\kappa}_f$ with reduced number of parameters, where the corresponding entries for different states differ only by the $SO(10)$ Clebsch factors. In this way one can produce the highly predictive ansatzes for fermion masses, in the spirit of the models [3]. However, in contrast to the latter schemes, the FC processes can be naturally controlled in these models, and what is more interesting, they can be tested also in the sfermion sector which, according to (21), involves only two new dimensional parameters A/g and δ/g^2 . Note, that non of the soft mass matrices in (21) is aligned precisely to the Yukawa terms, and the fermion-sfermion mixing angles generally are expected to be of the order of the CKM angles. Therefore, these models can have testable predictions for the supersymmetric FC processes which can be observed in the future experiments.

Concluding, we have shown that SUSY GUTs with the global horizontal symmetry $U(3)_H$ can predict very definite pattern of the SSB terms and naturally solve the flavor changing problem. (Unfortunately, the local $SU(3)_H$ could hardly serve for our purposes, since generally the large non-universal contributions would emerge from D-terms [6].) Note, however, that $U(3)_H$ can be reduced to its smaller subgroup without losing the key features of our approach. In particular, one can consider the horizontal symmetry $G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3$, with $G = U(1)$ or Z_N independently acting on different families ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and assume invariance under the permutations $G_i \leftrightarrow G_j$. Needless to say that such models could have a better chance to be derived from the string theory.

I would like to thank Antonio Masiero, Alex Pomarol and Zurab Tavartkiladze for useful discussions.

-
- [1] Z. Berezhiani, Phys. Lett. **B150**, 177 (1985).
 - [2] For a review, see Z. Berezhiani, hep-ph/9602325, to appear on Proc. ICTP Summer School (Trieste, July 1995).
 - [3] G.W. Anderson et al., Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3660 (1994); K.S. Babu and R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2418 (1995); K.S. Babu and S.M. Barr, *ibid.* **75**, 2088 (1995); Z. Berezhiani, Phys. Lett. **B355**, 178 (1995).
 - [4] Z. Berezhiani and G. Dvali, Sov. Phys. – Lebedev Inst. Reports **5**, 55 (1989); R. Barbieri et al., Nucl. Phys.

- B432**, 49 (1994); Z. Berezhiani, Phys. Lett. **B355**, 481 (1995); Z. Berezhiani, C. Csaki, and L. Randall, Nucl. Phys. **B444**, 61 (1995).
- [5] R. Barbieri, S. Ferrara, and C. Savoy, Phys. Lett. **B119**, 343 (1982); P. Nath, R. Arnowitt, and A. Chamseddine, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 970 (1982); L. Hall, J. Lykken, and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 2359 (1983).
 - [6] L.J. Hall, V.A. Kostelecky, and S. Raby, Nucl. Phys. **B267**, 415 (1986); R. Barbieri and L.J. Hall, Phys. Lett. **B338**, 212 (1994).
 - [7] C. Froggatt and H. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 277 (1979); Z. Berezhiani, Phys. Lett. **B129**, 99 (1983); *ibid.* **B150**, 177 (1985); S. Dimopoulos, *ibid.* **B129**, 417 (1983).
 - [8] S. Dimopoulos and A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. **B353**, 222 (1995); Nucl. Phys. **B453**, 83 (1995).
 - [9] Y. Nir and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. **B309**, 337 (1993); M. Leurer, Y. Nir, and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. **B398**, 319 (1993); E. Dudas, S. Pokorski, and C. Savoy, Phys. Lett. **B369**, 255 (1995); M. Dine, A. Kagan, and R. Leigh, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4269 (1993); A. Pomarol and D. Tommasini, Nucl. Phys. **B466**, 3 (1996); R. Barbieri, G. Dvali, and L.J. Hall, LBL-38065 (1996); R. Barbieri and L.J. Hall, LBL-38381 (1996).
 - [10] J. Chkareuli, JETP Lett. **32**, 684 (1980); Z. Berezhiani and J. Chkareuli, JETP Lett. **35**, 612 (1982); Yad. Fiz. **37**, 1043 (1983); Z. Berezhiani and M. Khlopov, Yad. Fiz. **51**, 1157,1479 (1990); Z. Phys. C **49**, 73 (1991).
 - [11] H. Fritzsch, Nucl. Phys. **B155**, 189 (1979).
 - [12] Although the exact Fritzsch texture for fermion masses is already excluded by experiment, for its viable modification see Z. Berezhiani, hep-ph/9607363.
 - [13] Strictly speaking, the seesaw approximation may not be good for the third family, since $\lambda_t \sim 1$ and thus $C \sim M$. Then one has to use more precise formulas – see e.g. in Z. Berezhiani and R. Rattazzi, Nucl. Phys. **B407**, 249 (1993). E.g., for $\lambda_t \simeq 1$ and say $g' \simeq 2$, constants $\lambda_{t,b,\tau}$ will get few percent corrections. The strong deviations from the seesaw limit will be discussed elsewhere.
 - [14] It would be more economic to take all horizontal scalars χ_n as $SU(5)$ singlets, and to assume e.g. that χ_3 has a direct coupling $\chi_3 F_c f_{10}$ while χ_2 couples via the higher order operator $\frac{\Phi}{\Lambda} \chi_2 F_c f_{10}$, where Φ is a Higgs 24-plet of $SU(5)$. In general, this would violate the soft mass universality at the scale M , but not necessarily. For example, the first operator can be effectively induced by integrating out the additional fermion states $\Psi(10, 3) + \Psi_c(\overline{10}, \overline{3})$ from the superpotential $\chi_2 F_c \Psi + \Lambda \Psi \Psi_c + \Phi \Psi_c f_{10}$, with $\Lambda \gg M, M_X$. As far as mixing between the states f_{10} and Ψ is universal in families, at least in the leading approximation, no additional FC effects will be induced.
 - [15] Alternatively, one could assume that A -terms in (11) are all vanishing due to the SUSY breaking specifics. It can be also envisaged that all fields χ_n actually emerge from a single supermultiplet of some underlying theory, so that $a'_n \propto a_n$. Then the matrices $\hat{\rho}_f$ and $\hat{\kappa}_f$ are exactly proportional and thus δ_A can be absorbed into δ .
 - [16] M. Joyce and N. Turok, Nucl. Phys. **B416**, 389 (1994).
 - [17] For review, see J.E. Kim, Phys. Rep. **150**, 1 (1987).